

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,375	04/20/2004	Morris P.F. Liang	TAIW 125 D1	3807
75	90 07/24/2006		EXAMINER	
RABIN & CHAMPAGNE, P.C.			KIM, PAUL D	
Suite 500 1101 14 Street,	N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/827,375	LIANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paul D. Kim	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTS IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the province of the mailing of the province of the mailing of the maximum	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawith Claim(s) <u>5-7,9 and 10</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 8</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration.  for election requirement.	· : 4*			
	The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ <i>a</i> )[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Application or the contraction of the	on No. <u>10/200,194</u> . d in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/20/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: --A PROCESS FOR FABRICATING A HIGH DENSITY MULTI-LAYER MICROCOIL--.

## Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 2-4 and 6-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "A process" as recited in line 1 of claims 2-4 and 6-10 is needed to be changed to –The process--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 4 and 8 are objected to as being a substantial duplicate of claims 1 and 5. Claim 4 and claim 8 are essentially duplicates of one another or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording. It is improper to have two claims, which contain the same limitations, in the same application as one claim would be a substantial duplicate of the other claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/827,375 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kajino (US PAT. 6,600,404).

Kajino teaches a process of making a microcoil comprising steps of: providing a substrate (1); using photolithography process to form a dry film photoresist structure (62) with a coil tunnel having coil elements perpendicular to the substrate and two outlets at ends of said tunnel; and plating (equivalent with injecting) a conductive material (31) with low melting point into the tunnel and forming a coil winding (31) as shown in Fig. 6 (see also col. 5, line 65 to col. 7, line 39).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 5-7, 9 and 10 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4565.

Art Unit: 3729

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 6:00 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-100%.

Paul D Kim Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3729